

RatingsDirect[®]

Summary:

Melrose, Massachusetts; General Obligation; Note

Primary Credit Analyst: Lauren Freire, New York + 1 (212) 438 7854; lauren.freire@spglobal.com

Secondary Contact: Christian Richards, Washington D.C. + 1 (617) 530 8325; christian.richards@spglobal.com

Table Of Contents

.....

Credit Highlights

Outlook

Related Research

Summary:

Melrose, Massachusetts; General Obligation; Note

Credit Profile				
US\$9.027 mil GO BANs dtd 09/21/2023 due 08/22/2024				
Short Term Rating	SP-1+	New		
Melrose GO				
Long Term Rating	AA+/Stable	Affirmed		
Melrose GO mun purp loan				
Long Term Rating	AA+/Stable	Affirmed		
Melrose GO (AMBAC)				
Unenhanced Rating	AA+(SPUR)/Stable	Affirmed		
Many issues are enhanced by bond insurance.				

Credit Highlights

- S&P Global Ratings we assigned our 'SP-1+' short-term rating to Melrose, Mass.' \$9 million general obligation (GO) bond anticipation notes (BANs)
- At the same time, we affirmed our 'AA+' rating on the city's existing GO debt.
- The outlook, where applicable, is stable.

Security

Melrose's full-faith-and-credit pledge secures the bonds and notes, subject to Proposition 2 1/2 limitations. We do not make a rating distinction because we rate the city's limited-tax obligation at the same level as our view of Melrose's general creditworthiness based on the application of our criteria, "Issue Credit Ratings Linked To U.S. Public Finance Obligors' Creditworthiness," published Nov. 20, 2019.

The short-term note rating reflects our criteria for evaluating and rating BANs. Melrose maintains a very strong capacity to pay principal and interest when the notes come due. We view the city's market risk profile as low because it has strong legal authority to issue long-term debt to take out the notes and is a frequent debt issuer that regularly provides ongoing disclosure to market participants. Proceeds will be used to fund various capital projects.

Credit overview

Melrose continues its strong performance fueled by conservative budgeting, supported by a taxbase that grew by more than \$1 billion since 2019. However, rising fixed costs, specifically its pension and other postemployment benefits (OPEB) coupled with limited taxing flexibility, could increase budgetary pressures. A lack of more formalized long-term planning, specifically related to capital needs and finances, and consistent updates also constrain the rating. Melrose is an affluent community, seven miles north of Boston, with residential property accounting for more than 95% of its property tax base.

Fiscal 2022 year-end results continue their positive trend of operations, with a \$2.8 million surplus. Management attributes this to conservative budgeting, and expenditure savings. The city is in the process of closing out fiscal 2023 and anticipates another surplus propelled by strong local receipts and expenditure savings. The city absorbed rising costs in its budget through strong revenues and savings in salaries and benefits. The fiscal 2024 budget is \$101.1 million, a 5.9% increase from the previous budget. The city raised property taxes, while also receiving increased state aid, which helped combat rising costs. Based on its track record, we anticipate Melrose will maintain its very strong budgetary flexibility and liquidity over the outlook period.

After this BANs issuance, the city will have \$52 million in debt outstanding, a portion of which we consider self-supporting. The city's future debt plans include a \$10 million issuance for a library project, which we do not view as significant. Melrose is asking voters for a debt exclusion for a \$95 million public safety complex, which will occur in the next few months. Since this debt is still preliminary, we do not view it as having a material impact but it could pressure future debt scores as the debt is issued, if approved. The city participates in the Melrose Contributory Retirement: 71% funded, \$43.0 million net pension liability and a single-employer other postemployment benefits (OPEB) plan, which is .6% funded, with an unfunded liability of \$164.2 million. Management transfers \$50,000 a year from its general fund and transfers \$22,000 from its proprietary funds to cover its OPEB plan. We view the city's pension and OPEB levels as a credit weakness and source of pressure given the potential for contribution volatility.

The rating also reflects our view of Melrose's:

- Residents have direct access to employment centers in Boston, Cambridge, and other surrounding communities. Management anticipates its tax base will continue to expand, with various residential and commercial properties fueled by multi-use projects;
- Good financial management policies and practices, with a focus on conservative budgeting, an anticipated update capital plan with help from outside consultants, a formalized long-term financial plan under development, and a strong institutional framework score;
- Strong budgetary performance, highlighted by conservative budgeting, with reserves growing to levels that we consider very strong while maintaining very strong liquidity levels; and
- Manageable fixed costs, despite elevated pension and OPEB expenses propelled by weaker funded ratios.

Environmental, social and governance

We evaluated Melrose's environmental, social, and governance (ESG) factors relative to the city's economy, financial measures, and management, and its debt and long-term liability profile. We consider the city's social risks to be neutral in our credit rating analysis. In addition, we view its governance and environmental risks as neutral considerations.

Outlook

The stable outlook reflects our view that management's continued conservative budgeting will support balanced operations, supported by very strong economy and reserves.

Downside scenario

If the city cannot maintain structural balance, resulting in reserves deteriorating to levels that we no longer consider very strong or strong, we could lower the rating.

Upside scenario

If the city maintains its current credit characteristics and reduces its long-term retirement liabilities and costs and implements stronger management policies, specifically related to long term planning, we could raise the rating.

	Most recent	Historical information		
		2022	2021	2020
Very strong economy				
Projected per capita EBI % of U.S.	170.5			
Market value per capita (\$)	237,664			
Population		28,851	28,496	28,722
County unemployment rate(%)		3.1		
Market value (\$000)	6,856,830	6,508,565	6,076,979	
Ten largest taxpayers % of taxable value	7.5			
Strong budgetary performance				
Operating fund result % of expenditures		2.8	3.8	3.9
Total governmental fund result % of expenditures		2.7	2.9	3.5
Very strong budgetary flexibility				
Available reserves % of operating expenditures		19.5	17.1	14.7
Total available reserves (\$000)		19,549	17,739	14,482
Very strong liquidity				
Total government cash % of governmental fund expenditures		21.2	17.9	20.2
Total government cash % of governmental fund debt service		486.9	369.0	389.3
Strong management				
Financial Management Assessment	Good			
Strong debt and long-term liabilities				
Debt service % of governmental fund expenditures		4.4	4.8	5.2
Net direct debt % of governmental fund revenue	38.6			
Overall net debt % of market value	0.7			
Direct debt 10-year amortization (%)	67.9			
Required pension contribution % of governmental fund expenditures		5.4		
OPEB actual contribution % of governmental fund expenditures		4.4		

Data points and ratios may reflect analytical adjustments. EBI--Effective buying income. OPEB--Other postemployment benefits.

Related Research

- Through The ESG Lens 3.0: The Intersection Of ESG Credit Factors And U.S. Public Finance Credit Factors, March 2, 2022
- 2022 Update Of Institutional Framework For U.S. Local Governments

Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read in conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at www.standardandpoors.com for further information. Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at www.capitaliq.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on S&P Global Ratings' public website at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box located in the left column.

Copyright © 2023 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Ratingrelated publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.