MELROSE READINESS CENTER

120 MAIN STREET
MELROSE, MA

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT

Submitted to:
City of Melrose Conservation Commission
Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection

Applicant:
Michael (Tony) Gautreau
MAARNG Construction Facility Management Office
2 Randolph Road
Hanscom AFB MA, 01731

Civil Engineer/ Land Surveyor:
Samiotes Consultants, Inc.
20 A Street
Framingham, MA 01701

Architect:
CSS Architects
107 Audobon Road
Building 2, Suite 300
Wakefield, MA 01880

July 30, 2021

Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection
Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands Program

Checklist for Stormwater Report

A. Introduction

Important: When filling out forms on the computer, use only the tab key to move your cursor - do not use the return key.



A Stormwater Report must be submitted with the Notice of Intent permit application to document compliance with the Stormwater Management Standards. The following checklist is NOT a substitute for the Stormwater Report (which should provide more substantive and detailed information) but is offered here as a tool to help the applicant organize their Stormwater Management documentation for their Report and for the reviewer to assess this information in a consistent format. As noted in the Checklist, the Stormwater Report must contain the engineering computations and supporting information set forth in Volume 3 of the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook. The Stormwater Report must be prepared and certified by a Registered Professional Engineer (RPE) licensed in the Commonwealth.

The Stormwater Report must include:

- The Stormwater Checklist completed and stamped by a Registered Professional Engineer (see page 2) that certifies that the Stormwater Report contains all required submittals.[1] This Checklist is to be used as the cover for the completed Stormwater Report.
- Applicant/Project Name
- Project Address
- Name of Firm and Registered Professional Engineer that prepared the Report
- Long-Term Pollution Prevention Plan required by Standards 4-6
- Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan required by Standard 8[2]
- Operation and Maintenance Plan required by Standard 9

In addition to all plans and supporting information, the Stormwater Report must include a brief narrative describing stormwater management practices, including environmentally sensitive site design and LID techniques, along with a diagram depicting runoff through the proposed BMP treatment train. Plans are required to show existing and proposed conditions, identify all wetland resource areas, NRCS soil types, critical areas, Land Uses with Higher Potential Pollutant Loads (LUHPPL), and any areas on the site where infiltration rate is greater than 2.4 inches per hour. The Plans shall identify the drainage areas for both existing and proposed conditions at a scale that enables verification of supporting calculations.

As noted in the Checklist, the Stormwater Management Report shall document compliance with each of the Stormwater Management Standards as provided in the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook. The soils evaluation and calculations shall be done using the methodologies set forth in Volume 3 of the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook.

To ensure that the Stormwater Report is complete, applicants are required to fill in the Stormwater Report Checklist by checking the box to indicate that the specified information has been included in the Stormwater Report. If any of the information specified in the checklist has not been submitted, the applicant must provide an explanation. The completed Stormwater Report Checklist and Certification must be submitted with the Stormwater Report.

[1] The Stormwater Report may also include the Illicit Discharge Compliance Statement required by Standard 10. If not included in the Stormwater Report, the Illicit Discharge Compliance Statement must be submitted prior to the discharge of stormwater runoff to the post-construction best management practices.

[2] For some complex projects, it may not be possible to include the Construction Period Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan in the Stormwater Report. In that event, the issuing authority has the discretion to issue an Order of Conditions that approves the project and includes a condition requiring the proponent to submit the Construction Period Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan before commencing any land disturbance activity on the site.

Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection
Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands Program

Checklist for Stormwater Report

B. Stormwater Checklist and Certification

The following checklist is intended to serve as a guide for applicants as to the elements that ordinarily need to be addressed in a complete Stormwater Report. The checklist is also intended to provide conservation commissions and other reviewing authorities with a summary of the components necessary for a comprehensive Stormwater Report that addresses the ten Stormwater Standards.

Note: Because stormwater requirements vary from project to project, it is possible that a complete Stormwater Report may not include information on some of the subjects specified in the Checklist. If it is determined that a specific item does not apply to the project under review, please note that the item is not applicable (N.A.) and provide the reasons for that determination.

A complete checklist must include the Certification set forth below signed by the Registered Professional Engineer who prepared the Stormwater Report.

Registered Professional Engineer's Certification

I have reviewed the Stormwater Report, including the soil evaluation, computations, Long-term Pollution Prevention Plan, the Construction Period Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan (if included), the Long-term Post-Construction Operation and Maintenance Plan, the Illicit Discharge Compliance Statement (if included) and the plans showing the stormwater management system, and have determined that they have been prepared in accordance with the requirements of the Stormwater Management Standards as further elaborated by the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook. I have also determined that the information presented in the Stormwater Checklist is accurate and that the information presented in the Stormwater Report accurately reflects conditions at the site as of the date of this permit application.

Registered Professional Engineer Block and Signature



7-29-21
Signature and Date

Checklist

Project Type: Is the application for new development, redevelopment, or a mix of new and redevelopment?

☐ New development

☒ Redevelopment

☐ Mix of New Development and Redevelopment

Checklist for Stormwater Report

Checklist (continued)

LID Measures: Stormwater Standards require LID measures to be considered. Document what environmentally sensitive design and LID Techniques were considered during the planning and design of the project:

- ☒ No disturbance to any Wetland Resource Areas
- ☐ Site Design Practices (e.g. clustered development, reduced frontage setbacks)
- ☒ Reduced Impervious Area (Redevelopment Only)
- ☐ Minimizing disturbance to existing trees and shrubs
- ☐ LID Site Design Credit Requested:
  - ☐ Credit 1
  - ☐ Credit 2
  - ☐ Credit 3
- ☐ Use of “country drainage” versus curb and gutter conveyance and pipe
- ☐ Bioretention Cells (includes Rain Gardens)
- ☐ Constructed Stormwater Wetlands (includes Gravel Wetlands designs)
- ☐ Treebox Filter
- ☐ Water Quality Swale
- ☐ Grass Channel
- ☐ Green Roof
- ☐ Other (describe): _____

Standard 1: No New Untreated Discharges

- ☒ No new untreated discharges
- ☐ Outlets have been designed so there is no erosion or scour to wetlands and waters of the Commonwealth
- ☐ Supporting calculations specified in Volume 3 of the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook included.

Checklist for Stormwater Report

Checklist (continued)

Standard 2: Peak Rate Attenuation

☐ Standard 2 waiver requested because the project is located in land subject to coastal storm flowage and stormwater discharge is to a wetland subject to coastal flooding.

☐ Evaluation provided to determine whether off-site flooding increases during the 100-year 24-hour storm.

☒ Calculations provided to show that post-development peak discharge rates do not exceed pre-development rates for the 2-year and 10-year 24-hour storms. If evaluation shows that off-site flooding increases during the 100-year 24-hour storm, calculations are also provided to show that post-development peak discharge rates do not exceed pre-development rates for the 100-year 24-hour storm.

Standard 3: Recharge

☒ Soil Analysis provided.

☐ Required Recharge Volume calculation provided.

☐ Required Recharge volume reduced through use of the LID site Design Credits.

☐ Sizing the infiltration, BMPs is based on the following method: Check the method used.

☐ Static ☐ Simple Dynamic ☐ Dynamic Field[1]

☐ Runoff from all impervious areas at the site discharging to the infiltration BMP.

☐ Runoff from all impervious areas at the site is *not* discharging to the infiltration BMP and calculations are provided showing that the drainage area contributing runoff to the infiltration BMPs is sufficient to generate the required recharge volume.

☐ Recharge BMPs have been sized to infiltrate the Required Recharge Volume.

☒ Recharge BMPs have been sized to infiltrate the Required Recharge Volume *only* to the maximum extent practicable for the following reason:

☐ Site is comprised solely of C and D soils and/or bedrock at the land surface

☐ M.G.L. c. 21E sites pursuant to 310 CMR 40.0000

☐ Solid Waste Landfill pursuant to 310 CMR 19.000

☒ Project is otherwise subject to Stormwater Management Standards only to the maximum extent practicable.

☐ Calculations showing that the infiltration BMPs will drain in 72 hours are provided.

☐ Property includes a M.G.L. c. 21E site or a solid waste landfill and a mounding analysis is included.

[1] 80% TSS removal is required prior to discharge to infiltration BMP if Dynamic Field method is used.

Checklist for Stormwater Report

Checklist (continued)

Standard 3: Recharge (continued)

☐ The infiltration BMP is used to attenuate peak flows during storms greater than or equal to the 10-year 24-hour storm and separation to seasonal high groundwater is less than 4 feet and a mounding analysis is provided.

☐ Documentation is provided showing that infiltration BMPs do not adversely impact nearby wetland resource areas.

Standard 4: Water Quality

The Long-Term Pollution Prevention Plan typically includes the following:

- Good housekeeping practices;
- Provisions for storing materials and waste products inside or under cover;
- Vehicle washing controls;
- Requirements for routine inspections and maintenance of stormwater BMPs;
- Spill prevention and response plans;
- Provisions for maintenance of lawns, gardens, and other landscaped areas;
- Requirements for storage and use of fertilizers, herbicides, and pesticides;
- Pet waste management provisions;
- Provisions for operation and management of septic systems;
- Provisions for solid waste management;
- Snow disposal and plowing plans relative to Wetland Resource Areas;
- Winter Road Salt and/or Sand Use and Storage restrictions;
- Street sweeping schedules;
- Provisions for prevention of illicit discharges to the stormwater management system;
- Documentation that Stormwater BMPs are designed to provide for shutdown and containment in the event of a spill or discharges to or near critical areas or from LUHPPL;
- Training for staff or personnel involved with implementing Long-Term Pollution Prevention Plan;
- List of Emergency contacts for implementing Long-Term Pollution Prevention Plan.

☒ A Long-Term Pollution Prevention Plan is attached to Stormwater Report and is included as an attachment to the Wetlands Notice of Intent.

☐ Treatment BMPs subject to the 44% TSS removal pretreatment requirement and the one inch rule for calculating the water quality volume are included, and discharge:

- ☐ is within the Zone II or Interim Wellhead Protection Area
- ☐ is near or to other critical areas
- ☐ is within soils with a rapid infiltration rate (greater than 2.4 inches per hour)
- ☐ involves runoff from land uses with higher potential pollutant loads.

☐ The Required Water Quality Volume is reduced through use of the LID site Design Credits.

☐ Calculations documenting that the treatment train meets the 80% TSS removal requirement and, if applicable, the 44% TSS removal pretreatment requirement, are provided.

Checklist for Stormwater Report

Checklist (continued)

Standard 4: Water Quality (continued)

☐ The BMP is sized (and calculations provided) based on:

- ☐ The ½" or 1" Water Quality Volume or
- ☐ The equivalent flow rate associated with the Water Quality Volume and documentation is provided showing that the BMP treats the required water quality volume.

☐ The applicant proposes to use proprietary BMPs, and documentation supporting use of proprietary BMP and proposed TSS removal rate is provided. This documentation may be in the form of the propriety BMP checklist found in Volume 2, Chapter 4 of the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook and submitting copies of the TARP Report, STEP Report, and/or other third party studies verifying performance of the proprietary BMPs.

☐ A TMDL exists that indicates a need to reduce pollutants other than TSS and documentation showing that the BMPs selected are consistent with the TMDL is provided.

Standard 5: Land Uses With Higher Potential Pollutant Loads (LUHPPLs)

☐ The NPDES Multi-Sector General Permit covers the land use and the Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) has been included with the Stormwater Report.

☒ The NPDES Multi-Sector General Permit covers the land use and the SWPPP will be submitted ***prior to*** the discharge of stormwater to the post-construction stormwater BMPs.

☐ The NPDES Multi-Sector General Permit does ***not*** cover the land use.

☐ LUHPPLs are located at the site and industry specific source control and pollution prevention measures have been proposed to reduce or eliminate the exposure of LUHPPLs to rain, snow, snow melt and runoff, and been included in the long term Pollution Prevention Plan.

☐ All exposure has been eliminated.

☐ All exposure has ***not*** been eliminated and all BMPs selected are on MassDEP LUHPPL list.

☐ The LUHPPL has the potential to generate runoff with moderate to higher concentrations of oil and grease (e.g. all parking lots with >1000 vehicle trips per day) and the treatment train includes an oil grit separator, a filtering bioretention area, a sand filter or equivalent.

Standard 6: Critical Areas

☐ The discharge is near or to a critical area and the treatment train includes only BMPs that MassDEP has approved for stormwater discharges to or near that particular class of critical area.

☐ Critical areas and BMPs are identified in the Stormwater Report.

Checklist for Stormwater Report

Checklist (continued)

Standard 7: Redevelopments and Other Projects Subject to the Standards only to the maximum extent practicable

☒ The project is subject to the Stormwater Management Standards only to the maximum Extent Practicable as a:

- ☐ Limited Project
- ☐ Small Residential Projects: 5-9 single family houses or 5-9 units in a multi-family development provided there is no discharge that may potentially affect a critical area.
- ☐ Small Residential Projects: 2-4 single family houses or 2-4 units in a multi-family development with a discharge to a critical area
- ☐ Marina and/or boatyard provided the hull painting, service and maintenance areas are protected from exposure to rain, snow, snow melt and runoff
- ☐ Bike Path and/or Foot Path
- ☒ Redevelopment Project
- ☐ Redevelopment portion of mix of new and redevelopment.

☐ Certain standards are not fully met (Standard No. 1, 8, 9, and 10 must always be fully met) and an explanation of why these standards are not met is contained in the Stormwater Report.

☐ The project involves redevelopment and a description of all measures that have been taken to improve existing conditions is provided in the Stormwater Report. The redevelopment checklist found in Volume 2 Chapter 3 of the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook may be used to document that the proposed stormwater management system (a) complies with Standards 2, 3 and the pretreatment and structural BMP requirements of Standards 4-6 to the maximum extent practicable and (b) improves existing conditions.

Standard 8: Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sedimentation Control

A Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan must include the following information:

- Narrative;
- Construction Period Operation and Maintenance Plan;
- Names of Persons or Entity Responsible for Plan Compliance;
- Construction Period Pollution Prevention Measures;
- Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan Drawings;
- Detail drawings and specifications for erosion control BMPs, including sizing calculations;
- Vegetation Planning;
- Site Development Plan;
- Construction Sequencing Plan;
- Sequencing of Erosion and Sedimentation Controls;
- Operation and Maintenance of Erosion and Sedimentation Controls;
- Inspection Schedule;
- Maintenance Schedule;
- Inspection and Maintenance Log Form.

☐ A Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan containing the information set forth above has been included in the Stormwater Report.

GARDNER READINESS CENTER
STORMWATER MANAGEMENT NARRATIVE
MELROSE, MA

Existing Stormwater Management:

The existing site, located at 120 Main Street, Melrose, MA consists of approximately 2.99 acres. The property offers the Massachusetts Army National Guard's 182nd Infantry Light Readiness Center with associated paved driveway, parking lots, landscaped areas, and infrastructure/ utilities. The work area is abutted by a bordering vegetated wetlands to the southwest which was delineated outside of the bank of Spot Pond Brook that runs through a culvert in the southwest portion of the site. The on site impervious areas consist of the existing building, the driveway, parking lot and associated pedestrian walkways. The pervious areas include grassed/ landscaped areas around the parking lot and building as well as wooded areas encompassing the outer edges of the brook to the southwest. In the existing condition, stormwater runoffsheet flows east to west where it is captured by a series of "daisy-chained" catch basins in the parking lot before it outlets to the brook.

Proposed Stormwater Management:

This project scope is predominantly to remove and replace deteriorated asphalt parking lot and to provide safe ADA compliant pedestrian access for visitors of the Readiness Center. The proposed stormwater management system will include multiple stormwater Best Management Practices (BMPs) consisting of deep sump catch basins and a water quality unit with the intent of providing a treatment train. An Operation & Maintenance Plan along with a cleaning of all drainage structures and pipes at project closeout will be introduced as part of this project to improve the efficiency of the stormwater system. The site is considered a redevelopment due to the decrease in total impervious area and will meet, to the maximum extent practical, the stormwater management standards set forth by the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection.

Stormwater Management Standards

The Department of Environmental Protection has implemented the Stormwater Management Standards as of November 18, 1996 and updated them in April 2008. The standards met are described below and in the Stormwater Management Form as provided by DEP.

Standard #1: Untreated Stormwater

The project is designed so that stormwater conveyances (outfalls/discharges) do not discharge untreated stormwater into, or cause erosion to, wetlands or waters.

Therefore Standard #1 is met.

Standard #2: Post-development peak discharge rates

There is a decrease in impervious area and existing drainage patterns have not been altered thus post-construction discharge rates will be less than pre-construction rates.

Therefore Standard #2 is met.

Standard #3: Recharge to groundwater

Soil types were identified based on preliminary soil testing information performed on site. The soil types were determined to be consistent with NRCS soil type "D". These soils allow a minimal amount of

infiltration. However, the decrease in impervious surfaces will show a slight increase in groundwater recharge.

Therefore Standard #3 is met.

Standard #4: TSS removal

The site consists of two main impervious areas; the roof on the existing building, and the parking lots.

The proposed work does not add any impervious area, and will include the following BMP's to remove TSS from impervious ares: Deep Sump Catch Basins (CB), Water Quality Units (WQU). Building roof runoff is considered "clean" and therefore does not require TSS removal. Additionally the implementation of an Operation & Maintenance Plan will contain a schedule to maintain the installed stormwater controls to the extent practical as part of the scope of this project.

P-Watershed-1: (Parking, Walkways)
Deep Sump Catch Basin: (1.00)(1.00-0.25)=0.75
Water Quality Unit: (0.75)(1.00-0.80)=0.15
Total TSS Removal=85%

Therefore Standard #4 is met to the maximum extent practical.

Standard #5: Higher potential pollutant loads

The project site does not contain Land Uses with Higher Potential Pollutant Loads.

Therefore Standard #5 is not applicable.

Standard #6: Protection of critical areas

The site does not discharge to any critical areas.

Therefore Standard #6 is not applicable.

Standard #7: Redevelopment projects

This project is a redevelopment. Standards #2 and #3 have been met and all other standards have been met or been met to the maximum extent practical.

Standard #8: Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sedimentation Control

Soil Erosion and Sediment Control Plan:
The objectives of the Soil Erosion and Sediment Control Plan are to control erosion at its source with temporary control structures, minimize the runoff from areas of disturbance, and de-concentrate and distribute stormwater runoff through natural vegetation before discharge to critical zones such as streams or wetlands. Soil erosion control does not begin with the perimeter sediment trap, it begins at the source of the sediment, the disturbed land areas, and extends down to the control structure.

The Soil Erosion and Sediment Control Plan will be enacted in order to protect the resource areas during construction. The erosion control devices will remain in place until all exposed areas have been stabilized with vegetation or impervious surfaces.

The objective of the Soil Erosion & Sediment Control Plan that will be enacted on site is to control the vulnerability of the soil to the erosion process or the capability of moving water to detach soil particles during the construction phase(s).

The soil erosion and sediment control BMP's for the site are straw wattles with silt fence, catch basin filters, and a construction entrance as shown on sheet C-1.0 of the design plans.

Therefore Standard #8 is met.

Standard #9: Operation/maintenance plan

An operation and maintenance plan for both construction and post-development stormwater controls has been developed. The plan includes owner(s); parties responsible for operation and maintenance; schedule for inspection and maintenance; routine and non-routine maintenance tasks. A copy of the O&M is included in the Appendix.

Therefore Standard #9 is met.

Standard #10: All illicit discharges to the stormwater management system are prohibited

It is not anticipated that there will be any Illicit discharges for the project.

Therefore Standard #10 is met.

Checklist for Stormwater Report

Checklist (continued)

Standard 8: Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sedimentation Control (continued)

☐ The project is highly complex and information is included in the Stormwater Report that explains why it is not possible to submit the Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan with the application. A Construction Period Pollution Prevention and Erosion and Sedimentation Control has ***not*** been included in the Stormwater Report but will be submitted ***before*** land disturbance begins.

☐ The project is ***not*** covered by a NPDES Construction General Permit.

☐ The project is covered by a NPDES Construction General Permit and a copy of the SWPPP is in the Stormwater Report.

☒ The project is covered by a NPDES Construction General Permit but no SWPPP been submitted. The SWPPP will be submitted BEFORE land disturbance begins.

Standard 9: Operation and Maintenance Plan

☒ The Post Construction Operation and Maintenance Plan is included in the Stormwater Report and includes the following information:

- ☐ Name of the stormwater management system owners;
- ☐ Party responsible for operation and maintenance;
- ☒ Schedule for implementation of routine and non-routine maintenance tasks;
- ☐ Plan showing the location of all stormwater BMPs maintenance access areas;
- ☐ Description and delineation of public safety features;
- ☒ Estimated operation and maintenance budget; and
- ☐ Operation and Maintenance Log Form.

☐ The responsible party is ***not*** the owner of the parcel where the BMP is located and the Stormwater Report includes the following submissions:

- ☐ A copy of the legal instrument (deed, homeowner's association, utility trust or other legal entity) that establishes the terms of and legal responsibility for the operation and maintenance of the project site stormwater BMPs;
- ☐ A plan and easement deed that allows site access for the legal entity to operate and maintain BMP functions.

Standard 10: Prohibition of Illicit Discharges

☐ The Long-Term Pollution Prevention Plan includes measures to prevent illicit discharges;

☐ An Illicit Discharge Compliance Statement is attached;

☒ NO Illicit Discharge Compliance Statement is attached but will be submitted ***prior to*** the discharge of any stormwater to post-construction BMPs.

TABLE OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX 1:
SOIL REPORT
SOIL LOGS

United States Department of Agriculture

NRCS

Natural Resources Conservation Service

A product of the National Cooperative Soil Survey, a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local participants

Custom Soil Resource Report for Middlesex County, Massachusetts

National Guard - 120 Main Street, Melrose

July 22, 2021

Preface

Soil surveys contain information that affects land use planning in survey areas. They highlight soil limitations that affect various land uses and provide information about the properties of the soils in the survey areas. Soil surveys are designed for many different users, including farmers, ranchers, foresters, agronomists, urban planners, community officials, engineers, developers, builders, and home buyers. Also, conservationists, teachers, students, and specialists in recreation, waste disposal, and pollution control can use the surveys to help them understand, protect, or enhance the environment.

Various land use regulations of Federal, State, and local governments may impose special restrictions on land use or land treatment. Soil surveys identify soil properties that are used in making various land use or land treatment decisions. The information is intended to help the land users identify and reduce the effects of soil limitations on various land uses. The landowner or user is responsible for identifying and complying with existing laws and regulations.

Although soil survey information can be used for general farm, local, and wider area planning, onsite investigation is needed to supplement this information in some cases. Examples include soil quality assessments (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/main/soils/health/) and certain conservation and engineering applications. For more detailed information, contact your local USDA Service Center (https://offices.sc.egov.usda.gov/locator/app?agency=nrcs) or your NRCS State Soil Scientist (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/contactus/?cid=nrcs142p2_053951).

Great differences in soil properties can occur within short distances. Some soils are seasonally wet or subject to flooding. Some are too unstable to be used as a foundation for buildings or roads. Clayey or wet soils are poorly suited to use as septic tank absorption fields. A high water table makes a soil poorly suited to basements or underground installations.

The National Cooperative Soil Survey is a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local agencies. The Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) has leadership for the Federal part of the National Cooperative Soil Survey.

Information about soils is updated periodically. Updated information is available through the NRCS Web Soil Survey, the site for official soil survey information.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, marital status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or a part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require

alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination, write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20250-9410 or call (800) 795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

Contents

How Soil Surveys Are Made

Soil surveys are made to provide information about the soils and miscellaneous areas in a specific area. They include a description of the soils and miscellaneous areas and their location on the landscape and tables that show soil properties and limitations affecting various uses. Soil scientists observed the steepness, length, and shape of the slopes; the general pattern of drainage; the kinds of crops and native plants; and the kinds of bedrock. They observed and described many soil profiles. A soil profile is the sequence of natural layers, or horizons, in a soil. The profile extends from the surface down into the unconsolidated material in which the soil formed or from the surface down to bedrock. The unconsolidated material is devoid of roots and other living organisms and has not been changed by other biological activity.

Currently, soils are mapped according to the boundaries of major land resource areas (MLRAs). MLRAs are geographically associated land resource units that share common characteristics related to physiography, geology, climate, water resources, soils, biological resources, and land uses (USDA, 2006). Soil survey areas typically consist of parts of one or more MLRA.

The soils and miscellaneous areas in a survey area occur in an orderly pattern that is related to the geology, landforms, relief, climate, and natural vegetation of the area. Each kind of soil and miscellaneous area is associated with a particular kind of landform or with a segment of the landform. By observing the soils and miscellaneous areas in the survey area and relating their position to specific segments of the landform, a soil scientist develops a concept, or model, of how they were formed. Thus, during mapping, this model enables the soil scientist to predict with a considerable degree of accuracy the kind of soil or miscellaneous area at a specific location on the landscape.

Commonly, individual soils on the landscape merge into one another as their characteristics gradually change. To construct an accurate soil map, however, soil scientists must determine the boundaries between the soils. They can observe only a limited number of soil profiles. Nevertheless, these observations, supplemented by an understanding of the soil-vegetation-landscape relationship, are sufficient to verify predictions of the kinds of soil in an area and to determine the boundaries.

Soil scientists recorded the characteristics of the soil profiles that they studied. They noted soil color, texture, size and shape of soil aggregates, kind and amount of rock fragments, distribution of plant roots, reaction, and other features that enable them to identify soils. After describing the soils in the survey area and determining their properties, the soil scientists assigned the soils to taxonomic classes (units). Taxonomic classes are concepts. Each taxonomic class has a set of soil characteristics with precisely defined limits. The classes are used as a basis for comparison to classify soils systematically. Soil taxonomy, the system of taxonomic classification used in the United States, is based mainly on the kind and character of soil properties and the arrangement of horizons within the profile. After the soil

scientists classified and named the soils in the survey area, they compared the individual soils with similar soils in the same taxonomic class in other areas so that they could confirm data and assemble additional data based on experience and research.

The objective of soil mapping is not to delineate pure map unit components; the objective is to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. Each map unit is defined by a unique combination of soil components and/or miscellaneous areas in predictable proportions. Some components may be highly contrasting to the other components of the map unit. The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The delineation of such landforms and landform segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

Soil scientists make many field observations in the process of producing a soil map. The frequency of observation is dependent upon several factors, including scale of mapping, intensity of mapping, design of map units, complexity of the landscape, and experience of the soil scientist. Observations are made to test and refine the soil-landscape model and predictions and to verify the classification of the soils at specific locations. Once the soil-landscape model is refined, a significantly smaller number of measurements of individual soil properties are made and recorded. These measurements may include field measurements, such as those for color, depth to bedrock, and texture, and laboratory measurements, such as those for content of sand, silt, clay, salt, and other components. Properties of each soil typically vary from one point to another across the landscape.

Observations for map unit components are aggregated to develop ranges of characteristics for the components. The aggregated values are presented. Direct measurements do not exist for every property presented for every map unit component. Values for some properties are estimated from combinations of other properties.

While a soil survey is in progress, samples of some of the soils in the area generally are collected for laboratory analyses and for engineering tests. Soil scientists interpret the data from these analyses and tests as well as the field-observed characteristics and the soil properties to determine the expected behavior of the soils under different uses. Interpretations for all of the soils are field tested through observation of the soils in different uses and under different levels of management. Some interpretations are modified to fit local conditions, and some new interpretations are developed to meet local needs. Data are assembled from other sources, such as research information, production records, and field experience of specialists. For example, data on crop yields under defined levels of management are assembled from farm records and from field or plot experiments on the same kinds of soil.

Predictions about soil behavior are based not only on soil properties but also on such variables as climate and biological activity. Soil conditions are predictable over long periods of time, but they are not predictable from year to year. For example, soil scientists can predict with a fairly high degree of accuracy that a given soil will have a high water table within certain depths in most years, but they cannot predict that a high water table will always be at a specific level in the soil on a specific date.

After soil scientists located and identified the significant natural bodies of soil in the survey area, they drew the boundaries of these bodies on aerial photographs and

identified each as a specific map unit. Aerial photographs show trees, buildings, fields, roads, and rivers, all of which help in locating boundaries accurately.

Soil Map

The soil map section includes the soil map for the defined area of interest, a list of soil map units on the map and extent of each map unit, and cartographic symbols displayed on the map. Also presented are various metadata about data used to produce the map, and a description of each soil map unit.

Custom Soil Resource Report
Soil Map



Map Scale: 1:1,100 if printed on A landscape (11" x 8.5") sheet.

N

Meters: 0 15 30 60 90

Feet: 0 50 100 200 300

Map projection: Web Mercator Corner coordinates: WGS84 Edge tics: UTM Zone 19N WGS84

MAP LEGEND

Area of Interest (AOI)
- Area of Interest (AOI)

Soils
- Soil Map Unit Polygons
- Soil Map Unit Lines
- Soil Map Unit Points

Special Point Features
- Blowout
- Borrow Pit
- Clay Spot
- Closed Depression
- Gravel Pit
- Gravelly Spot
- Landfill
- Lava Flow
- Marsh or swamp
- Mine or Quarry
- Miscellaneous Water
- Perennial Water
- Rock Outcrop
- Saline Spot
- Sandy Spot
- Severely Eroded Spot
- Sinkhole
- Slide or Slip
- Sodic Spot
- Spoil Area
- Stony Spot
- Very Stony Spot
- Wet Spot
- Other
- Special Line Features

Water Features
- Streams and Canals

Transportation
- Rails
- Interstate Highways
- US Routes
- Major Roads
- Local Roads

Background
- Aerial Photography

MAP INFORMATION

The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:25,000.

Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.

Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed scale.

Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements.

Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service
Web Soil Survey URL:
Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)

Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required.

This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.

Soil Survey Area: Middlesex County, Massachusetts
Survey Area Data: Version 20, Jun 9, 2020

Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50,000 or larger.

Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Sep 11, 2019—Oct 5, 2019

The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

Map Unit Legend

Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
1	Water	0.3	5.1%
603	Urban land, wet substratum	5.4	94.9%
Totals for Area of Interest		**5.7**	**100.0%**

Map Unit Descriptions

The map units delineated on the detailed soil maps in a soil survey represent the soils or miscellaneous areas in the survey area. The map unit descriptions, along with the maps, can be used to determine the composition and properties of a unit.

A map unit delineation on a soil map represents an area dominated by one or more major kinds of soil or miscellaneous areas. A map unit is identified and named according to the taxonomic classification of the dominant soils. Within a taxonomic class there are precisely defined limits for the properties of the soils. On the landscape, however, the soils are natural phenomena, and they have the characteristic variability of all natural phenomena. Thus, the range of some observed properties may extend beyond the limits defined for a taxonomic class. Areas of soils of a single taxonomic class rarely, if ever, can be mapped without including areas of other taxonomic classes. Consequently, every map unit is made up of the soils or miscellaneous areas for which it is named and some minor components that belong to taxonomic classes other than those of the major soils.

Most minor soils have properties similar to those of the dominant soil or soils in the map unit, and thus they do not affect use and management. These are called noncontrasting, or similar, components. They may or may not be mentioned in a particular map unit description. Other minor components, however, have properties and behavioral characteristics divergent enough to affect use or to require different management. These are called contrasting, or dissimilar, components. They generally are in small areas and could not be mapped separately because of the scale used. Some small areas of strongly contrasting soils or miscellaneous areas are identified by a special symbol on the maps. If included in the database for a given area, the contrasting minor components are identified in the map unit descriptions along with some characteristics of each. A few areas of minor components may not have been observed, and consequently they are not mentioned in the descriptions, especially where the pattern was so complex that it was impractical to make enough observations to identify all the soils and miscellaneous areas on the landscape.

The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The objective of mapping is not to delineate pure taxonomic classes but rather to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. The delineation of such segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, however,

onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

An identifying symbol precedes the map unit name in the map unit descriptions. Each description includes general facts about the unit and gives important soil properties and qualities.

Soils that have profiles that are almost alike make up a *soil series*. Except for differences in texture of the surface layer, all the soils of a series have major horizons that are similar in composition, thickness, and arrangement.

Soils of one series can differ in texture of the surface layer, slope, stoniness, salinity, degree of erosion, and other characteristics that affect their use. On the basis of such differences, a soil series is divided into *soil phases*. Most of the areas shown on the detailed soil maps are phases of soil series. The name of a soil phase commonly indicates a feature that affects use or management. For example, Alpha silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is a phase of the Alpha series.

Some map units are made up of two or more major soils or miscellaneous areas. These map units are complexes, associations, or undifferentiated groups.

A *complex* consists of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas in such an intricate pattern or in such small areas that they cannot be shown separately on the maps. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar in all areas. Alpha-Beta complex, 0 to 6 percent slopes, is an example.

An *association* is made up of two or more geographically associated soils or miscellaneous areas that are shown as one unit on the maps. Because of present or anticipated uses of the map units in the survey area, it was not considered practical or necessary to map the soils or miscellaneous areas separately. The pattern and relative proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar. Alpha-Beta association, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

An *undifferentiated group* is made up of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas that could be mapped individually but are mapped as one unit because similar interpretations can be made for use and management. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas in a mapped area are not uniform. An area can be made up of only one of the major soils or miscellaneous areas, or it can be made up of all of them. Alpha and Beta soils, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

Some surveys include *miscellaneous areas*. Such areas have little or no soil material and support little or no vegetation. Rock outcrop is an example.

Middlesex County, Massachusetts

1—Water

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 996p
Frost-free period: 110 to 200 days
Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Water: 100 percent
Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Water

Setting

Landform position (two-dimensional): Toeslope
Landform position (three-dimensional): Dip
Down-slope shape: Linear
Across-slope shape: Linear

603—Urban land, wet substratum

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 9951
Mean annual precipitation: 32 to 50 inches
Mean annual air temperature: 45 to 50 degrees F
Frost-free period: 110 to 200 days
Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Urban land: 85 percent
Minor components: 15 percent
Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Urban Land

Setting

Landform position (two-dimensional): Footslope
Landform position (three-dimensional): Base slope
Down-slope shape: Linear
Across-slope shape: Linear
Parent material: Excavated and filled land over alluvium and/or marine deposits

Minor Components

Udorthents, loamy

Percent of map unit: 10 percent
Hydric soil rating: No

Rock outcrop

Percent of map unit: 5 percent
Landform: Ledges
Landform position (two-dimensional): Summit
Landform position (three-dimensional): Head slope
Down-slope shape: Concave
Across-slope shape: Concave

References

American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO). 2004. Standard specifications for transportation materials and methods of sampling and testing. 24th edition.

American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM). 2005. Standard classification of soils for engineering purposes. ASTM Standard D2487-00.

Cowardin, L.M., V. Carter, F.C. Golet, and E.T. LaRoe. 1979. Classification of wetlands and deep-water habitats of the United States. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service FWS/OBS-79/31.

Federal Register. July 13, 1994. Changes in hydric soils of the United States.

Federal Register. September 18, 2002. Hydric soils of the United States.

Hurt, G.W., and L.M. Vasilas, editors. Version 6.0, 2006. Field indicators of hydric soils in the United States.

National Research Council. 1995. Wetlands: Characteristics and boundaries.

Soil Survey Division Staff. 1993. Soil survey manual. Soil Conservation Service. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 18. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_054262

Soil Survey Staff. 1999. Soil taxonomy: A basic system of soil classification for making and interpreting soil surveys. 2nd edition. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 436. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_053577

Soil Survey Staff. 2010. Keys to soil taxonomy. 11th edition. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_053580

Tiner, R.W., Jr. 1985. Wetlands of Delaware. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, Wetlands Section.

United States Army Corps of Engineers, Environmental Laboratory. 1987. Corps of Engineers wetlands delineation manual. Waterways Experiment Station Technical Report Y-87-1.

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National forestry manual. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/home/?cid=nrcs142p2_053374

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National range and pasture handbook. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/landuse/rangepasture/?cid=stelprdb1043084

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National soil survey handbook, title 430-VI. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/scientists/?cid=nrcs142p2_054242

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2006. Land resource regions and major land resource areas of the United States, the Caribbean, and the Pacific Basin. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 296. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_053624

United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service. 1961. Land capability classification. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 210. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/nrcs142p2_052290.pdf



Sketch No.	SKCE-TP
Reference Drawing	-

Job #:	50022.00
Drawn by:	DJS
Scale:	1"=20'
Date:	06/30/21

Project: National Guard Parking Lot
Melrose

Title: Test Pit Locations

Samiotes Consultants Inc.
Civil Engineers + Land Surveyors

20 A Street
Framingham, MA 01701

T 508.877.6688
F 508.877.8349

www.samiotes.com

samiotes

Commonwealth of Massachusetts
City/Town of Melrose

Form 11 - Soil Suitability Assessment for On-Site Sewage Disposal

A. Facility Information

Massachusetts Army National Guard
Owner Name

120 Main Street
Street Address

Map/Lot #

Melrose
City

MA
State

01731
Zip Code

B. Site Information

1. (Check one) ☐ New Construction ☐ Upgrade ☐ Repair

2. Soil Survey Available? ☒ Yes ☐ No If yes: USDA (Source) 603 (Soil Map Unit)

Urban Land
Soil Name

Soil Limitations

Excavated and filled land over alluvium and/or marine deposits

Previosuly Excavated
Landform

3. Surficial Geological Report Available? ☒ Yes ☐ No If yes: 2018 Stone and Cohen (Year Published/Source) Coarse Deposits (Map Unit)

Gravel deposits, sand and gravel deposits.
Description of Geologic Map Unit:

4. Flood Rate Insurance Map Within a regulatory floodway? ☐ Yes ☒ No

5. Within a velocity zone? ☐ Yes ☒ No

6. Within a Mapped Wetland Area? ☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, MassGIS Wetland Data Layer:

Wetland Type

7. Current Water Resource Conditions (USGS): 07/06/21 (Month/Day/ Year) Range: ☐ Above Normal ☒ Normal ☐ Below Normal

8. Other references reviewed:

Commonwealth of Massachusetts
City/Town of Melrose

Form 11 - Soil Suitability Assessment for On-Site Sewage Disposal

C. On-Site Review (*minimum of two holes required at every proposed primary and reserve disposal area)*

Deep Observation Hole Number: TP#1 (Hole #) | 07/07/21 (Date) | 9:30 am (Time) | (Weather) | 42.44479 (Latitude) | -71.06857 (Longitude:)

1. Land Use: Developed land (e.g., woodland, agricultural field, vacant lot, etc.) | Paved (Vegetation) | N/A (Surface Stones (e.g., cobbles, stones, boulders, etc.)) | 0-2% (Slope (%))

Description of Location:

2. Soil Parent Material: Alluvium and/or marine deposits | Previously excavated (Landform) | BS (Position on Landscape (SU, SH, BS, FS, TS))

3. Distances from: Open Water Body 100'+ feet | Drainage Way 100'+ feet | Wetlands 100'+ feet | Property Line 10'+ feet | Drinking Water Well 100'+ feet | Other _____ feet

4. Unsuitable Materials Present: ☒ Yes ☐ No If Yes: ☐ Disturbed Soil ☒ Fill Material ☐ Weathered/Fractured Rock ☐ Bedrock

5. Groundwater Observed: ☒ Yes ☐ No If yes: 80" Depth Weeping from Pit _____ Depth Standing Water in Hole

Soil Log

Depth (in)	Soil Horizon /Layer	Soil Texture (USDA	Soil Matrix: Color-Moist (Munsell)	Redoximorphic Features			Coarse Fragments % by Volume		Soil Structure	Soil Consistence (Moist)	Other
				Depth	Color	Percent	Gravel	Cobbles & Stones			
0-3	HTM										Asphalt
3-8	HTM										Pavement Base
8-20	HTM										Pavement Subbase
20-48	Fill										
48-60	Ab	Loam	10YR 3/2						Granular	Friable	
60-120	C	Clay	2.5Y 3/1	72"	10YR 5/6	3%			Massive	Friable	

Additional Notes:
NRCS Soil Classification: D, ESHGW=36.33

Commonwealth of Massachusetts
City/Town of Melrose

Form 11 - Soil Suitability Assessment for On-Site Sewage Disposal

C. On-Site Review (*minimum of two holes required at every proposed primary and reserve disposal area)*

Deep Observation Hole Number: TP#2 (Hole #) | 07/07/21 (Date) | 9:00 am (Time) | (Weather) | 42.44479 (Latitude) | -71.06857 (Longitude:)

1. Land Use: Developed land (e.g., woodland, agricultural field, vacant lot, etc.) | None (Vegetation) | Paved (Surface Stones (e.g., cobbles, stones, boulders, etc.)) | (Slope (%))

Description of Location: Paved parking lot near entrance

2. Soil Parent Material: Alluvium and/or marine deposits | Previoulsy excavated land (Landform) | BS (Position on Landscape (SU, SH, BS, FS, TS))

3. Distances from: Open Water Body 100'+ feet | Drainage Way 100'+ feet | Wetlands 100'+ feet
Property Line 10'+ feet | Drinking Water Well 100'+ feet | Other _____ feet

4. Unsuitable Materials Present: ☒ Yes ☐ No If Yes: ☐ Disturbed Soil ☒ Fill Material ☐ Weathered/Fractured Rock ☐ Bedrock

5. Groundwater Observed: ☒ Yes ☐ No If yes: 70" Depth Weeping from Pit | 120" Depth Standing Water in Hole

Soil Log

Depth (in)	Soil Horizon /Layer	Soil Texture (USDA)	Soil Matrix: Color-Moist (Munsell)	Redoximorphic Features			Coarse Fragments % by Volume		Soil Structure	Soil Consistence (Moist)	Other
				Depth	Color	Percent	Gravel	Cobbles & Stones			
0-3	HTM										Asphalt
3-6	HTM										Base
6-12	HTm										Subbase
12-36	Fill										
36-55	Ab	Loam	10YR 3/2						Granular	Friable	
55-120	C	Clay	2.5Y 3/1	64"	10YR 5/6	3%			Massive	Friable	

Additional Notes:
NRCS Soil Classification: D, ESHGW=36.52

Commonwealth of Massachusetts
City/Town of Melrose

Form 11 - Soil Suitability Assessment for On-Site Sewage Disposal

D. Determination of High Groundwater Elevation

1. Method Used:

	Obs. Hole # TP#1	Obs. Hole # TP#2
☐ Depth observed standing water in observation hole	_____ inches	_____ inches
☐ Depth weeping from side of observation hole	_____ inches	_____ inches
☒ Depth to soil redoximorphic features (mottles)	72" inches	70" inches
☐ Depth to adjusted seasonal high groundwater (S_h) (USGS methodology)	_____ inches	_____ inches

_____ Index Well Number _____ Reading Date

$S_h = S_c – [S_r \times (OW_c – OW_{max})/OW_r]$

Obs. Hole/Well# _____ S_c _____ S_r _____ OW_c _____ OW_{max} _____ OW_r _____ S_h _____

2. Estimated Depth to High Groundwater: Varies inches

E. Depth of Pervious Material

1. Depth of Naturally Occurring Pervious Material

a. Does at least four feet of naturally occurring pervious material exist in all areas observed throughout the area proposed for the soil absorption system?

☒ Yes ☐ No

b. If yes, at what depth was it observed (exclude A and O Horizons)?	Upper boundary:	Varies inches	Lower boundary:	120 inches
c. If no, at what depth was impervious material observed?	Upper boundary:	_____ inches	Lower boundary:	_____ inches

Commonwealth of Massachusetts
City/Town of Melrose

Form 11 - Soil Suitability Assessment for On-Site Sewage Disposal

F. Certification

I certify that I am currently approved by the Department of Environmental Protection pursuant to 310 CMR 15.017 to conduct soil evaluations and that the above analysis has been performed by me consistent with the required training, expertise and experience described in 310 CMR 15.017. I further certify that the results of my soil evaluation, as indicated in the attached Soil Evaluation Form, are accurate and in accordance with 310 CMR 15.100 through 15.107.

David Scharlacken	07/07/21
Signature of Soil Evaluator	Date
David Scharlacken/ SE14279	12/21
Typed or Printed Name of Soil Evaluator / License #	Expiration Date of License
Name of Approving Authority Witness	Approving Authority

Note: In accordance with 310 CMR 15.018(2) this form must be submitted to the approving authority within 60 days of the date of field testing, and to the designer and the property owner with Percolation Test Form 12.

Field Diagrams: Use this area for field diagrams:

APPENDIX 2:
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PLAN

Melrose Readiness Center
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PLAN
July 2021

During Construction the General Contractor shall be responsible for the following:

1. **Erosion Control**

   Erosion control barriers will be placed along down-gradient portion of the site as indicated on the project plans. Additional erosion control barriers will be placed at the limit of work as needed and in any sensitive areas as work progresses. A stockpile of additional erosion control barriers shall be kept on site at all times

   Erosion control shall be left in place until directed by the Conservation Commission to remove it.

2. **Site Access**

   Site access, for construction equipment will be from the existing driveway entrance.

3. **Construction Staging**

   A construction staging area will be established on the existing pavement within the site adjacent to the existing building. All construction materials, supplies, trailers and offices, portable toilets, and equipment shall be stored within the limits of the staging area. Temporary trailers and offices may also be located within the developed portion of the site. All temporary stockpiles will be surrounded with straw wattles and silt fencing as required.

4. **Site Grading/Site Work**

   The site grading related site activities may only commence when the site is stable from erosion and all required control measures are in place and functional. Site work during wet periods should be avoided if possible and limited to only those areas that will not have adverse impacts on wetland resource areas.

5. **Permanent Stabilization**

   Disturbed portions of the site where construction activities permanently cease shall be stabilized with permanent seed no later than 5 days after the last construction activity. Stabilization shall be done by hydroseeding all graded and exposed areas as soon as possible. If hydroseeding in performed during non-growing season then newly hydroseeded areas shall be covered with a thick layer of straw. Newly seeded areas shall be inspected on a monthly basis and the hay replaced, as required, until the vegetation is well established.

6. **Dust and Sediment Control**

   Silt Sacks:
   Catch basin filters shall be placed at all inlets to drainage structures and prior to pavement removal. Outlet protection work shall be constructed before runoff is allowed to enter the drainage system. Construction and location of catch basin filters shall be as indicated on the Drawings.

   Straw wattles and silt fence:
   Straw wattles and silt fence shall be installed as indicated on the Drawings.

Wattles shall be placed in a row with ends tightly abutting the adjacent wattles. Each wattle shall be securely anchored in place by 2 stakes or re-bars driven through the wattles. The first stake in each wattle shall be angled toward the previously laid wattle to force the wattles together.

Construction Entrance:
The area of the construction entrance should be cleared of all vegetation, roots, and other objectionable material. The filter fabric should be placed on the subgrade prior to the gravel placement. The gravel shall be placed to the specified dimensions depicted on the plans.

The Construction Entrance shall be a minimum of 50-feet in length and 20-feet wide.

Dust Control:
A mechanical street sweeper shall be utilized to clean the existing paved areas on an as-needed basis.

For emergency control of dust apply water to affected areas. The source of supply and the method of application for water are the responsibility of the contractor.

Pollution Prevention Measures

1. Before, during, and after construction, functional erosion and sedimentation controls shall be implemented to prevent the siltation of the wetland areas down-gradient of the site. Wattles, crushed stone, siltation fencing, temporary stabilization and other controls shall be properly maintained and are not to be removed until the site is permanently stabilized. Other controls shall be added as warranted during construction to protect environmentally-sensitive areas. Sufficient extra materials (e.g., wattles and other control materials) shall be stored on site for emergencies.

2. Casting of excavated materials shall be stored away from wetland areas and sensitive land areas.

3. Any stockpiling of loose materials shall be properly stabilized to prevent erosion and siltation. Preventative controls such as hay bales, temporary seeding/mulching and jute covering shall be implemented to prevent such an occurrence.

4. There shall be no flooding, ponding, or flood related damage caused by the project or surface run-off emanating from the project on lands of an abutter, nearby or down-gradient of the site.

5. There shall be no contaminant migration caused by the project to nearby and down-gradient properties, nearby aquifers, and nearby resource areas.

6. The Site Operator shall make sufficient provisions to control any unexpected drainage and erosion conditions that may arise during construction that may create damage on abutting properties. Said control measures are to be implemented at once.

7. During construction flood prevention, erosion, and sedimentation controls shall be in place before the natural ground cover is disturbed. Said controls shall be in place prior to other construction work and shall be monitored and approved by the Site Operator. They shall be properly maintained and are not to be removed until the site is stabilized.

8. The Site Operator shall designate a person or persons to inspect and supervise the drainage and erosion controls for the project. The Conservation Commission shall be notified as to the means to contact said individual or

individuals on a 24-hour basis on all working and non-working days of the project. Said means of contact shall include at least 2 separate telephone number of said designated person or persons.

9. There shall be periodic inspection of wattles, and other erosion controls by the Operator's Designee to assure there continued effectiveness.

10. Street sweeping shall be used to keep public ways free and clear of sediment and dirt from the site activities.

Other Control Measures

Waste Materials. All trash and construction debris from the site will be hauled to an approved landfill or recycling facility. No construction waste material will be buried on the site. All personnel will receive instructions regarding the correct procedure for waste disposal. Notices describing these practices will be posted in the construction office. The site superintendent will be responsible for seeing that these procedures are followed. Employee waste and other loose materials will be collected so as to prevent the release of floatables during rainfall events.

After Construction the Owner shall be responsible for the following:

Erosion Controls

Erosion controls shall not be removed or dismantled without approval from the Engineer or Conservation Commission. Sediment deposits that are removed or left in place after the barriers have been dismantled shall be graded manually to conform to the existing topography and vegetated using seeding or other long term cover as approved in the Landscape Plan. Bare ground that cannot be permanently stabilized within 30 days shall be stabilized by temporary measures.

Street Sweeping ($500 per sweeping)

It is proposed that the parking and drive areas be swept with a wet brush street sweeper on a semi-annual basis, with at least two sweepings per year. One sweep shall be done at the end of the winter season (prior to the heavy rains), and the other sweep at the end of autumn (prior to snowfall).

Stormwater Management System

Catch Basins, Area Drains, and Drain Manholes ($500 per structure per inspection/cleaning):
The catch basins, drain manholes, roof drains, and area drains shall be inspected semi-annually, and cleaned out when sumps are approximately one foot full. The use of "clam shells" for sediment removal shall not be allowed; a vacuum truck shall be the approved method of cleaning. Integrity and functionality of oil hoods shall also be checked at the time of the inspection.

Water Quality Unit (WQU) ($1,000 per structure per inspection/cleaning):
Water Quality Unit shall be as follows and per manufacturer's recommendations:

- Units should be inspected and cleaned/emptied post-construction, prior to being put into service.
- Inspect every six months for the first year of operation to determine the oil and sediment accumulation rate. In subsequent years, inspections can be based on first-year observations

- Cleaning is required once the sediment depth reaches 15% of storage capacity (generally taking one year or longer).
- Inspect the unit immediately after an oil, fuel, or chemical spill.
- A licensed waste management company should remove captured petroleum waste products from any oil, chemical, or fuel spills and dispose responsibly.
- Owner to follow the requirements of the manufacturer for maintenance and cleaning of the units with a frequency as noted above, and where the requirements of this Operations and Maintenance Plan are more rigorous than manufacturer's requirements, defer to this Operations and Maintenance Plan.

Snow Storage

No snow shall be stored within stormwater BMP's or wetlands.

De-Icing Chemicals

De-icing of the parking lot and pedestrian areas is done by a sub-contractor who uses typical sand and salt mixture.

Site Contact

Michael (Tony) Gautreau
Construction Coordinator II
Estimator / Project Manager
MAARNG Construction Facility Management Office
michael.a.gautreau.nfg@mail.mil
PH (339) 202-3964
Cell (508) 216-6970

APPENDIX 3:
SKETCHES/MAPS



Sketch No.	HYD-EX
Reference Drawing	-

Job #:	50023.00
Drawn by:	DJS
Scale:	1"=50'
Date:	07/22/21

Project: NATIONAL GUARD READINESS CENTER - MELROSE

Title: EXISTING HYDROLOGY MAP

Samiotes Consultants Inc.
Civil Engineers + Land Surveyors

20 A Street
Framingham, MA 01701

T 508.877.6688
F 508.877.8349

www.samiotes.com



Sketch No.	HYD-EX
Reference Drawing	-

Job #:	50023.00
Drawn by:	DJS
Scale:	1"=50'
Date:	07/22/21

Project: NATIONAL GUARD READINESS CENTER - MELROSE

Title: PROPOSED HYDROLOGY MAP

Samiotes Consultants Inc.
Civil Engineers + Land Surveyors

20 A Street
Framingham, MA 01701

T 508.877.6688
F 508.877.8349

www.samiotes.com

samiotes

APPENDIX 4:
DRAINAGE CALCULATIONS

SUMMARY OF DRAINAGE CALCULATIONS

"B" EXISTING CONDITIONS

CONDITION	AREA (SF)	%	COEFF.	FRACT. COMP.
ASPHALT/BUILDING	94,257	75.2%	0.9	0.68
LANDSCAPE / GRASS	31,110	24.8%	0.3	0.07
TOTAL	125,367	100.00%	"C" COMPOS.	=0.75

"D" PROPOSED CONDITIONS

CONDITION	AREA (SF)	%	COEFF.	FRACT. COMP.
ASPHALT/BUILDING	93,222	74.4%	0.9	0.67
LANDSCAPE / GRASS	32,145	25.6%	0.3	0.08
TOTAL	125,367	100.00%	"C" COMPOS.	=0.75

APPROXIMATE TIME OF CONCENTRATIONS & STORM INTENSITIES

TC =5 MIN.(TIME OF CONCENTRATION - EXISTING/PROPOSED)

INTENSITIES (I)

3.2IN./HR.(2 YR STORM INTENSITY)
4.5IN./HR.(10 YR STORM INTENSITY)
5.4IN./HR.(25 YR STORM INTENSITY)
7.0IN./HR.(100 YR STORM INTENSITY)

DETERMINE OFF-SITE RUNOFF - TOTAL SITE:

	2 YR	10 YR	25 YR	100 YR	
"Q" EXISTING = "C" COMP. X I X AREA(AC) =	6.91	9.71	11.66	15.11	CFS
"Q" PROP. = "C" COMP. X I X AREA(AC) =	6.91	9.71	11.66	15.11	CFS
NET INCREASE /DECREASE IN RUNOFF =	**0.00**	**0.00**	**0.00**	**0.00**	**CFS**

NOTE: PROPOSED CONDITIONS SHOW A DECREASE IN IMPERVIOUS AREA OVER EXISTING CONDITIONS (**1,035 SF**).