PLANNING BOARD
City Hall, 562 Main Street Melrose, Massachusetts 02176

Telephone - (781) 979-4190
Fax - (781) 979-4290

April 29, 2024
Board of Appeals
City of Melrose
Massachusetts
Re: Case 24-007, 59 Ridgewood Lane
To the Members of the Board:
The Planning Board reviewed the above referenced case at its regular meeting held on Monday, April 29, 2024, to make a recommendation to you based on the application materials provided. Information regarding the proposal and zoning data is in the attached table.

The Planning Board took no position on the request for variances. Members agreed with the comments in the Staff Brief and emphasized that the shed should be made smaller to limit the additional impervious surface on the lot and to pull it farther away from the property line.

We believe that this case involves judgements that can best be determined by the Board of Appeals during the public hearing.

Sincerely,


Gregory Sampson
Chairman

cc: Douglas White, Applicant<br>Neil Burke, Owner

## CASE INFORMATION

| ZBA CASE NUMBER 24-007 | PROPERTY ADDRESS/LOCATION 59 Ridgewood Lane |  | APPLICANT/PROPERTY OWNER Douglas White/Neil R. Burke |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PLANNING BOARD April 29, 2024 | G DATE |  | ZONING BOARD OF APPEAL PUBLIC HEARING DATE <br> May 8, 2024 |
| ZONING DISTRICT | EXISTING LAND USE | LAND AREA | PERMIT/RELIEF SOUGHT |
| SRB | Single-family structure | 7,996 sf | Variances: <br> §235-24.A side setback for detached shed <br> §235-18 and -19 open space |

## PROPERTY INFORMATION/HISTORY

This is a nonconforming lot as it relates to lot area and the open space on the lot is also nonconforming.

## SUMMARY OF REQUEST

The Applicant is requesting variances to install a shed in the right side yard setback.

COMPATIBILITY with the Master Plan \&/or Zoning Ordinance Standards

| Relevant Zoning <br> Dimensions | Required | Existing | Proposed |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Side yard setback <br> for shed | 10 ft. | - | 2 ft. |
| Open space | 3,198 sf <br> $(40 \%$ of lot <br> area) | $2,945 \mathrm{sf}$ | $2,865 \mathrm{sf}$ |

PLANNING BOARD RECOMMENDATION based upon a technical analysis prior to the public hearing

Neutral position - see letter for details
STAFF REVIEW based upon a technical analysis prior to the public hearing Given the existing pool, seating area, and the modest size of the backyard, there does not appear to be another place on the lot
 suitable for the proposed shed. However, the Applicant should consider reducing the size of the shed so that it can be pulled farther out of the setback. This would also help limit adding impervious surface to this lot. Since the shed is proposed to be close to the property line, it will be important to understand if the abutter on the right has any concerns or comments.

| ATTACHMENTS | APPLICATION | DENIAL | LEGAL NOTICE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FORM | LETTER |  |
|  | SUPPLEMENTAL | PLOT PLAN | ARCHITECTURAL PLANS |
|  | MATERIALS | 8.30 .2019 |  |



